

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: Redetermination of A303 Stonehenge scheme
Date: 02 April 2022 11:04:28

I wish to comment on National Highways' response to this redetermination.

I believe that the existing World Heritage Site should be fully protected: and that it's entire land surface and the archaeology underneath it should remain untouched.

In National Highways' response there are

- No changes to the Scheme to take the 2021 World Heritage Committee [Decision](#) into account;
- No amelioration of the Scheme's impact on the proposed western cutting area (which is currently judged to be "significantly adverse");
- No proper assessment of alternative, less damaging routes such as a southern bypass or a longer tunnel which would reduce impact on the World Heritage Site (in my opinion, any tunnel entrances should be entirely outside the WHS);
- No assessment of any alternatives to hard engineering solutions, which could better protect the World Heritage Site – e.g. a package of measures to reduce road traffic, road emissions and improve access to the South West;
- No updates to the scheme construction costs or carbon assessment and costs;
- No assessments of how the scheme may affect the UK's climate change ambitions, or the Environment Act 2021's ambitions for nature recovery.

Because of these deficiencies, I believe that the Development Consent Order should be re-examined.

Best wishes

Dick Millard

